

IASPM EC Minutes (Meeting XIII)

10/06/2019

18:00h-19:30h (CET)

Executive Committee Meeting, June 10, 2019 (6pm CET), held by video conference (Skype)

Present: Antti-Ville Kärjä (AK), Marta García Quiñones (MG), Franco Fabbri (FF), Jacopo Conti (JC), Sílvia Martínez (SM)

1- Canberra Conference

AV informs that the program will be circulated within a week. SM asks if the program includes the Book Prize event and the General Meeting; AV and FF answer that they did the request, so they assume it will be included.

Regarding the IASPM Book Prize, FF says that the LOC agreed to facilitate the equipment available, but that there are still questions to resolve. We decide to contact the local organisation because the place proposed for the prize event is far from the conference, and there is a risk that the event might not be well attended.

2- General Meeting

SM has drafted a previous agenda and shared with FF, who says it is fine (the agenda is shared at this point with MG and AV). Regarding the points 12 and 13, FF remarks that the voting on the amendments to the statutes and rules of procedure must happen immediately after the executive committee's report and all the other reports. FF proposes to include the discussion for the proposals of the next conference in the agenda. We decide to include it.

SM introduces a question about auditors; there are two auditors. Normally they are decided during the meeting. We agree that the auditors are going to be volunteers from the meeting.

Regarding the nominations for the new EC roles we decide to announce their names on the next day (11/06/2019). The following information should be made public: who is the nominee, who nominates, branch, affiliation, reasons for becoming a nominee. We decide to ask to the nominees for a brief CV.

3- IPM Agreement

FF thinks it doesn't need discussion and voting. The aim is to go to Canberra with the IMP agreement signed.

4- EC Final Report / Treasury Report

SM introduces the IASPM Journal report. It is not clear if the IASPM Journal report should be presented by Koos Zwaan, as the former chief editor, or

Rupert Till, as the person that is now in charge. Koos won't be in Canberra but Rupert will. We decide to ask both and agree to read Koos's text in his name.

We agree that Tami Gadir and Catherine Strong's proposal will be presented by them.

MG asks how we are going to do the report. We decide to do it as in Kassel: Jacopo and Ann are going to be online, and the rest of us are going to share the interventions in Canberra. We decide to record three minutes video presentation for the people who won't be in Canberra and cannot make it online for time zone reasons.

FF proposes to define a deadline for the EC final report. SM says the deadline should be ready by Thursday (13/06); the other members of the board will send their parts by Wednesday (12/06), and SM is going to draft the document on Thursday.

5- Institutional Membership

MG introduces the topic of the Nordic branch possibly having an institutional member. They are unsure which fee to charge them. There are currently only two types of fees: waged and unwaged. It doesn't seem any branch has institutional members.

One query to solve is what fee institutional members should be charged. We propose to charge 4 times the prize of an individual membership for the institutional members (so \$100 for institutional members). Another query is which IASPM services are offered to them (access to the mailing lists is just for the institution single user? Can someone who is affiliated with an institutional member of the IASPM publish as individual in the IASPM Journal?)

The governing idea is that institutional members should join the IASPM to support it, rather than to have direct benefits.

It is decided that the institutional member can just have one email linked to the IASPM mailing lists; they can distribute the info through their internal circles but with just one email linked to IASPM. We also decided that the EC are going to check and discuss with the IASPM Journal the possible benefits for institutional members.

6- Subscription form for the international mailing list

SM introduces the fact that the subscription form was approved by email. It is introduced in the minutes.

We decide to have another meeting before Canberra and schedule it with a Doodle.

No further requests or comments